IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN

THURSDAY, THE 18TH OCTOBER 2007 / 26TH ASWINA 1929

CRP.No. 1887 of 2003()

AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 28/08/2003 IN I.A. 3831 /2003 IN OS.620/2000 of II ADDL.SUB COURT,ERNAKULAM

.....

REVN. PETITIONER / 1ST DEFENDANT:

P.U. SENAN, S/O. LATE N. NENAN
CHENCHERY HOUSE, MAMANGALAM, COCHIN.

BY ADV. SRI.A.KRISHNAN

RESPONDENTS / PLAINTIFFS & 2ND DEFENDANT :

---

1. C.A. ARJUNAN, S/O. APPUKUTTY,
CHENCHERRY HOUSE, P.J. ANTONY ROAD,
MAMANGALAM, COCHIN.

2. VANAJA ARJUNAN, W/O. C.A. ARJUNAN., -DO-,

3. YESUDAS. G., PEREIRA,
SEA VIEW, BEACH ROAD, KOLLAM.

BY ADV. SRI.S.K.BRAHMANANDAN
SRI.G.SREENIVASA PRABHU
SRI.S.B.PREMACHANDRA PRABHU

THIS CIVIL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 18/10/2007 , THE COURT ON 18/10/2007 PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

CRP NO. 1887/2003

ORDER ON I.A.NO. 1724/2003 IN C.R.P. NO. 1887/2003.

DISMISSED.

18/10/2007 SD/- (P.R.RAMAN, JUDGE)

// TRUE COPY //

PA TO JUDGE.

jg

P.R.RAMAN, J.

C.R.P. No. 1887 of 2003

Dated, this the 18th day of October, 2007

O R D E R

This Civil Revision Petition is filed against the order in I.A. No. 3831/03 of the II Additional Sub Judge, Ernakulam. A petition was stated to be filed for the purpose of enabling the learned counsel for the defendants to cross-examine the plaintiffs. In the absence of oral evidence on the part of the plaintiffs, this prayer was dismissed, against which the present revision is filed.

2. Though it is averred in the body of the petition herein that the proof affidavit of plaintiffs was accepted, it is stated by the learned counsel appearing for the plaintiffs, the respondents herein, that they are not relying on the proof affidavit, but only on the documents marked on admission by the parties. The same is recorded. In the circumstances, the poof affidavit will not be considered by the court below in deciding the case.

Subject to the above observation, this Civil Revision Petition is disposed of.

(P.R.RAMAN, JUDGE)

jg